Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. 183.^{1,2} Proteolytic Enzymes. 18.³ Inhibitors of Guinea Pig Complement Derived by Quaternization of Phenylalkylpyridines with α -Bromomethylbenzenesulfonyl Fluorides

B. R. BAKER* AND MICHAEL H. DOLL

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received March 1, 1971

A series of 31 compounds derived from hydrocarbon-substituted pyridines by quaternization with PhCH₂Br containing 4-SO₂F, 2-SO₂F, or 6-Cl-2-SO₂F were synthesized and evaluated as inhibitors of guinea pig complement and its C'1a component. The most active compound was 3-(3,4-dichlorophenylbutyl)-N-(o-fluorosulfonylbenzyl)pyridinium bromide (7) which showed about 60% inhibition at $31 \mu M$; three other compounds (8, 10, 35) showed about 50% inhibition at $62 \mu M$. The most effective irreversible inhibitor of the C'1a component in this series was N-(6-chloro-2-fluorosulfonylbenzyl)quinolinium bromide which showed 55% inhibition at 16 μM and 88% inhibition at 31 μM .

The serum complement system is a mixture of 11 distinct proteins⁴⁻⁶ which has protease activity that is both "tryptic" and "chymotryptic." The function of the serum complement system is to kill foreign bacteria and protozoa; it can also lyse foreign mammalian cells thus causing rejection of organ and tissue transplants. Certain arthritic states are also caused by malfunction of the complement system. Thus inhibitors of the complement system have potential medical use for controlling transplant rejection and treatment of complement-induced arthritis.7

The complement system and its inhibition is readily measured by the lysis of sheep red blood cells (RBC) by guinea pig complement and antibody.^{7,8} Powerful tryptic-type inhibitors of complement derived from benzamidine^{7,9} have emerged from this laboratory. Similarly, two types of chymotryptic-type inhibitors of complement have resulted from studies in this laboratory, as exemplified by 1¹⁰ and 2.^{11,12} Only side chains

CI OCH₂CONHCH₂ CI
COOH NHCONH SO₂F

1

CI OCH₂CONH(CH₂)_n CH₂ SO₂F

2,
$$n = 0, 1$$

at the 3 position of the pyridine ring could be made, the 2- or 4- amino group on pyridine (2, n = 0) being too inert to acylate and the 2- or 4-aminomethylpyridines (2

- (1) This work was generously supported by Grant CA-08695 from the National Cancer Institute, U. S. Public Health Service.
- (2) For the previous paper in this series see B. R. Baker, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., in press.
- (3) For the previous paper is this subseries see B. R. Baker and M. Cory, J. Med. Chem., 14, 119 (1971).
- (4) H. J. Müller-Eberhard, Advan. Immunol., 8, 1 (1968).
- (5) P. H. Schur and K. F. Austen, Annu. Rev. Med., 19, 1 (1968).
- (6) Complement, Ciba Found, Symp., 1964 (1965).
- (7) B. R. Baker and E. H. Erickson, J. Med. Chem., 12, 408 (1969).
 (8) E. A. Kabat and M. M. Mayer, "Experimental Immunochemistry," 2nd ed, Charles C Thomas Co., Springfield, Ill., 1967, pp 149-153.
 (9) B. R. Baker and M. Cory, J. Med. Chem., 12, 1053 (1969).

 - (10) B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, ibid., 12, 415 (1969). (11) B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, ibid., 12, 902 (1969).
 - (12) B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, ibid., 12, 677 (1969).
- (13) B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, ibid., 12, 221 (1969).
- (14) J. A. Hurlbut, Ph.D. thesis, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, Calif., 1969.
- (15) B. R. Baker, "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1967, pp 56-57.
- (16) B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, J. Med. Chem., 10, 1129 (1967).


n = 1) giving unstable products; ¹³ as a result structureactivity relationships were incomplete. There appeared to be 2 possible solutions to this dilemma. The first was to increase n to two in 2; inhibition of complement was lost when the side chain was at the 2 position on the pyridine, but was maintained when the side chain was on the 4 position.14

The second solution would be to replace the CONH part of the bridge between the pyridine and dichlorophenyl rings of 2 with CH₂CH₂; such a structural change would cause little change in binding to chymotrypsin¹⁵ and presumably to other "chymotryptic" proteases. Furthermore, replacement of the O in the bridge by CH₂ causes no change in binding to chymotrypsin. 16 Since $(CH_2)_n$ bridges in **2** are easier to synthesize than $O(CH_2)_{n-1}$ bridges, a series of compounds related to 2 were synthesized with hydrocarbon bridges to the 2, 3, or 4 positions of the pyridine. These compounds were then evaluated as inhibitors of whole guinea pig complement, irreversible inhibitors of the C'1a component, chymotrypsin, and acetylcholine esterase. The results are the subject of this paper.

Assay Results.—When the OCH₂CONH bridge of 3 was replaced by (CH₂)₄, the resultant 6 showed such enhanced lysis of red blood cells in the absence of complement that 6 could not be evaluated as an inhibitor of the complement system; this result was not too surprising since the $(CH_2)_4$ bridge of m-(phenylbutyl)benzamidine gave much more compound-induced lysis than m-(phenoxypropyloxy)benzamidine. This dilemma was avoided by quaternization with o-benzylsulfonyl fluorides to give 7 and 8 since these o-sulfonyl fluorides showed considerably enhanced activity with the OCH₂CONH bridge.¹¹ The o-benzylsulfonyl fluoride quaternary salt of the compound with a (CH₂)₄ bridge (7) was just as effective as the corresponding compound with the OCH₂CONH bridge (4); similarly the benzyl quaternary with 6-chloro-2-fluorosulfonyl substituent with a (CH₂)₄ bridge (8) was just as effective as the corresponding compound with a OCH2-CONH bridge (5).

That the 3,4-dichlorophenylbutyl side chain at the 3

Table 1
Inhibition^a of Guinea Pig Complement and Irreversible Inhibition of the C'1a Component by



		Br	-			
No.	\mathbf{R}_1	$ m R_2$	m M inhib	—Whole co % inhibn ^b	mplement— % lysis ^c	C'la, % inactn ^d
3e	3-(3,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ OCH ₂ CONH)	$4-\mathrm{SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	1.0	, 0	45	67
	, ,	-	0.50	45	4	
40	$3-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CONH})$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50	93	0	95
			0.25	89		96
			0.125	84		70
			0.062	63		37
- .	9 (9 4 CLC II OCH CONII)	e Claso E	0.031	27	0	0.0
5°	$3-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CONH})$	6-Cl-2-SO ₂ F	0.50	94	8	98
			$0.25 \\ 0.125$	96 96		98 73
			0.123	90 84		55
			0.031	4.5		00
6	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	0.25^{f}		100	66
	• •		0.125	16	10	36
7	$3-[3,4-\mathrm{Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4}]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50		100	97
			0.25		100	96
			0.125	85		7 5
			0.062	72		37
			0.031	59		
8	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.015	21	100	95
0	3-[3,4-C1 ₂ C6H ₃ (CH ₂)4)	0-C1-2-SO ₂ F	$\begin{array}{c} 0.50 \\ 0.25 \end{array}$		100 59	93 98
			$0.25 \\ 0.125$	94	09	97
			0.062	7 6		67
			0.031	20		39
9	$3-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	1.0	56	3	85
			0.50	30		64
			0.25			35
10	$3-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50	88	7	95
			0.25	95 20		93
			0.125	8 2		73
11	Н	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	$egin{array}{c} 0.062 \ 3.0 \end{array}$	$\frac{51}{54}$	0	3 8 71
11	п	4-5O ₂ r	1.0	$\frac{54}{24}$	O	29
12	Н	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	1.0	67	0	79
	•	- ~ ~ 2	0.50	39	v	50
13	Н	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50	64	2	78
			0.25	39		54
14	$2-[3,4-\mathrm{Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4}]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50		100	84
			0.25	16	12	51
		0.00 F	0.125	3	0	27 77
15	$2-[3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{CH}_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50	E0.	100	77
			$\begin{array}{c} 0.25 \\ 0.125 \end{array}$	$\frac{53}{34}$	$\frac{32}{0}$	45
16	$4-[3,4-\mathrm{Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4}]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50	01	100	69
10	1 [0,1 01200113(0112/4]	- ~ 42-	0.25		100	38
			0.125	22	9	
17	$4-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_{f 6}\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	0.50		100	95
			0.25		41	86
			0.125	64		52
10	4.0 H (0H.)	4 90 E	0.062	23	0	0-
18 19	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$ $4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3O(CH_2)_3]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$ $4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	$rac{0.50^f}{0.25^f}$	20	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 29 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35 \\ 49 \end{array}$
19	4-[3,4-C12C6H3O(CH2)8]	4-3U ₂ r	$0.25^{\circ} \\ 0.125$	20	0	$\frac{49}{22}$
20	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	0.50	98	ő	96
- •	1 00-10(1/1		0.25	81		91
			0.125	40		61
			0.062			38
21	$3-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	1.0	_	94	90
			0.50	53	5	7 2
99	2 (2 4 C) C 11 CII CII \	0 CO I	0.25	22 97	0	$\frac{39}{82}$
22	$3\text{-}(3,4\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_3\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{CH}_2)$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.50 \\ 0.25 \end{array}$	87 68	0	82 57
			$0.25 \\ 0.125$	37		21
			V.I20	91		

		 - (-Whole complement-		C'la,	
No.	\mathbf{R}_1	R ₂	mM inhib	% inhibn ^b	% lysisc	% inactnd
23	$2-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)$	$4-SO_2F$	1.0	63	18	7 3
			0.50	43	0	43
24	$2-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	1.0	64	14	95
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0.50	85	0	94
			0.25	66		82
			0.125	32		5 8
25	$4-(3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3CH_2CH_2)$	$4-SO_2F$	1.0		100	93
	,,		0.50	56	0	7 4
			0.25	22		32
26	$4-(3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3CH_2CH_2)$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	0.50	88	0	91
	- (-)		0.25	65		78
			0.125	33		52
27	3-C ₀ H ₅ CH ₂ CH ₂	6-Cl-2-SO ₂ F	0.50	93	0	93
	0,,011,011,	•	0.25	7 7		69
			0.125	40		44
28	4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ CH ₂	$4-SO_2F$	1.0	45	3	7 1
-4			0.50	23		39
29	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$	4-SO₂F	1.0	52	3	79
20	1 04110(0112/3		0.50	33		61
			0.25			34
30	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$	6-Cl-2-SO ₂ F	0.50	89	0	94
30	1-06115(0112)8	0 01 2 00 21	0.25	78	ŭ	83
			0.125	42		48
31	4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	$4-SO_2F$	0.50/	21	0	17
32	4-C ₀ H ₅	4-SO ₂ F	1.0	41	ő	78
02	1-08115	1.0021	0.50	22	v	65
			0.25	12		37
33	4-CH ₃	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	3.0	46	0	84
00	1-0113	1-0021	1.0	17	v	40
34	2,3-Benzo	$4-SO_2F$	1.0	21	0	22
35	2,3-Benzo	6-Cl-2-SO ₂ F	0.50	88	ő	94
00	2,0-10:1120	0 01 2 20 2	0.125	83	Ů	95
			0.062	45		90
			0.031	11		88
			0.0156			55
			0.0078			28
36	3,4-Benzo	6-Cl-2- SO₂ F	0.50	90	0	100
30	0,1-101120	0-01-2-001t	0.25	74	v	93
			0.125	33		95 75
				JJ		41
			0.062			41

^a The technical assistance of Pauline Minton with these assays is acknowledged. ^b Inhibition of lysis of sheep red blood cells by guinea pig complement and antibody detd as previously described.7 c Lysis by the compd in the absence of complement expressed as per cent of total lysis possible. de Inhibitor incubated 10 min at 37° with C'1a, then whole complement restored and assayed as previously described.* • Data from ref 3 and 11. / Maximum solubility.

position (8) made a contribution to inhibition of the complement system was shown by replacement of this side chain by H (13); 13 was 8-fold less effective than 8.

When the side chain of 7 was moved to the 2 position of the pyridine ring, the resultant 15 was 8-fold less effective than 7. When the side chain of 7 was moved to the 4 position of the pyridine ring, the resultant 17 was also about 4-fold less effective than 7 on the whole complement system.

Removal of the two Cl's from 8 gave 10 which was almost as effective as 8; thus the contribution of the two Cl's to inhibition of whole complement was small, but lysis of the red blood cells by 10 was considerably reduced compared to 8. When the 3-phenylbutyl side chain of 10 was moved to the 4 position of the pyridine ring, the resultant 20 was again 4-fold less effective than the 3 isomer.

When the 3,4-dichlorophenylbutyl side chain of 7 was reduced in length to phenethyl, the resultant 22 was 8-fold less effective than 7. Shifting the side chain of 22 from the 3 position to the 2 position of the pyridine ring (24) gave no change in binding; similar results were observed when the side chain of 22 was moved to the 4 position of the pyridine ring (26). When 27 was compared to 22, the inhibition by phenethyl and 3,4-dichlorophenethyl appeared to be equivalent.

Since the contribution of a phenylbutyl group at the 4 position of the pyridine ring (17) was 4-fold less effective than the contribution by the phenylbutyl group at the 3 position (7), the contribution of a C₆H₅(CH₂)_n group at the 4 position was investigated where n = 0-4. The contribution was only 2-fold where n = 0-4; this conclusion can be arrived at by comparing 13 vs. 20, 20 vs. 27 and 30, 18 vs. 31 and 32.

Replacement of the pyridine ring of 13 with quinoline gave about a 6-fold increment in better inhibition with the resultant 35. Replacement in 13 with an isoquinoline ring (36) gave only a 2-fold increment in inhibition.

The compounds in Table I were then investigated as irreversible inhibitors of the C'1a component of complement by the previously described assay; in general

TABLE II INHIBITION^a OF CHYMOTRYPSIN AND ACETYLCHOLINE ESTERASE BY

$$R_1$$
 $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$
 R_2
 R_2

			~ 	Chymotrypsin————————————————————————————————————			AChE,
			I50,b,c	Inhib.	Time.	%	Iso, b
No.	\mathbf{R}_1	\mathbf{R}_2	μM	μM	min	inactvn	μM
31	$3-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CONH})$	$4-SO_2F$	15	15	$2, 8, 30^{g}$	81, 89, 100	15
4 ^h	$3-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CONH})$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	13	13	$<$ 2 g	100	3.7
5^h	$3-(3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CONH})$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	5.7	5.7	$2, 4^{g}$	98, 100	5.0
6	$3-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$4-SO_2F$	4.2	4.2	60	94	26
7	$3-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	3.3	3.3	60	100	4.2
8	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	3.3	3.3	60	100	8.2
9	$3-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$4-SO_2F$	6.5	6.5	60	89	40
10	$3-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	3.4	3.4	60	86	12
11	H	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	110	110	60	93	71
12	Н	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	170	170	60	100	3 8
13	Н	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	28	28	60	97	65
14	$2-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	6.2	6.2	60	82	22
15	$2-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	3.4	3.4	60	100	9.2
16	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	20	20	60	85	19
17	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	$^{2.8}$	2.8	60	96	9.8
18	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	37	37	60	95	25
19	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3O(CH_2)_3]$	$4-SO_2F$	13	13	60	90	23
20	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	16	16	60	100	8.3
21	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	23	23	60	92	44
22	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CH}_2)_2]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	3.0	3.0	60	83	20
23	$2-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathbf{F}$	17	17	60	85	44
24	$2-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	6.6	6.6	60	100	19
25	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	2 2	22	60	90	32
26	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	3 3	3 3	60	87	17
27	$3-C_6H_5CH_2CH_2$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	7.2	7.2	60	89	17
28	$4-C_6H_5CH_2CH_2$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	66	66	60	94	62
29	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$	$4-SO_2F$	59	59	60	94	13
30	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	48	48	60	92	10
31	$4-C_6H_5CH_2$	$4-SO_2F$	50	50	60	94	100
32	4-C ₆ H ₅	$4-SO_2F$	11	11	60	94	62
33	$4-\mathrm{CH}_3$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	98	98	60	95	130
34	2,3-Benzo	$4\text{-}\mathrm{SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	50	50	60	88	12
35	2,3-Benzo	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	5.6	5.6	60	91	15
36	3,4-Benzo	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	19	19	60	96	4.8
37	H	H	> 5000				66
38	2,3-Benzo	H					15
39	H	3,4-Benzo					90
40	Н	2,3-Benzo					50
41	2,3-Benzo	3,4-Benzo					7 5

The technical assistance of Julie Beardslee, Nancy Middleton, and Pauline Minton with these assays is acknowledged. • I₅₀ = concurrence for 50% inhibition. Assayed with 200 μM N-glutaryl-L-phenylalanine p-nitroanilide in 0.05 M Tris buffer (pH 7.4) contg 10% DMSO as previously described. In activation performed with $\simeq 1 \, \mu M$ enzyme at 24° in 0.05 M Tris buffer (pH 7.4) containing 10% DMSO, then the remaining enzyme assayed with N-benzoyl-L-tyrosine Et ester in pH 8.1 Tris buffer containing 0.1 M CaCl₂ as previously described [B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, J. Med. Chem., 12, 118 (1969)]. AChE (acetylcholine esterase) from rabbit brain was assayed with 1000 μM [14C] ACh as previously described [B. R. Baker and R. E. Gibson, J. Med. Chem., 14, 315 (1971)]. Chymotrypsin data from ref 13. g From a 6-point time study. h Data from ref 11.

the results paralleled the inhibition of the whole complement system with the following exception: the phenylbutyl group was equally effective when attached to the 3 or 4 position of the pyridine ring. In cases where inhibition of whole complement system and irreversible inhibition of its C'1a component run parallel, the results have been interpreted to indicate that the main blockade of the whole system is that of C'1a.3

In summary, the following important conclusions are reached from the data in Table I on inhibition of the whole complement system.

(1) The $(CH_2)_4$ bridge at the 3 position of the pyridine ring is just as effective as the OCH₂CONH bridge. although lysis by the compounds is increased with the nonpolar (CH₂)₄ bridge.

- (2) The C₆H₅(CH₂)₄ substituent gives maximum inhibition when at the 3 position, being less effective at the 4 or 2 positions of the pyridine ring.
- (3) The C₆H₅(CH₂)₂ substituent is less effective at the 3 position than $C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$.

Current studies are focused on synthesis of other substituents with hydrocarbon bridges at the 3 position of the pyridine ring to see if inhibition of the whole complement system can be further maximized.

The amides 3–5 are good reversible and irreversible inhibitors of chymotrypsin; therefore 6-36 were examined for their inhibition of chymotrypsin, and the results are recorded in Table II. The I_{50} 's for reversible inhibition were determined with N-glutaryl-L-phenylalanine p-nitroanilide¹⁷ as previously described;¹⁶ in this assay the I_{50} is approximately equal to K_i . 18 Irreversible inhibition was performed by incubation at 24°, then assay of the remaining enzyme with N-benzoyl-Ltyrosine ethyl ester as previously described. 19 The reversible I₅₀'s of the inhibitors with a 3-(3,4-dichlorophenylbutyl) side chain (6-8) were 2- to 4-fold better than the I₅₀'s of inhibitors with the corresponding compounds with 3-(3,4-dichlorophenoxyacetamido) side Removal of the phenyl chlorines chain (3-5). (9, 10) from 6 and 8 gave no appreciable change in I_{50} . That the 3-phenylbutyl side chains did contribute to binding was shown by comparison of 6-8 with 11-13; the binding increments were 9- to 50-fold.

When the 3-(dichlorophenylbutyl) side chain of 6 and 7 was shifted to the 2 position, the resultant 14 and 15 were just as good reversible and irreversible inhibitors; these results should be contrasted with the irreversible inhibition of C'1a (Table I) by these 4 compounds, particularly where 7 is considerably more effective than 15 on C'1a. The side chain at the 4 position of 17 was as effective as having it at the 3 position (7), but 16 was about 5-fold less effective than 6. The dichlorophenethyl side chain at the 3 position of the pyridine ring (22) was as effective as the dichlorophenylbutyl side chain (7); however, the ethyl bridge (26) was less effective at the 4 position when compared with the butyl bridge of 17.

All of the compounds (6-36) were excellent irreversible inhibitors of chymotrypsin when incubated at their $I_{50} \simeq K_i$ concn with the enzyme.

Since quaternary salts are known to be inhibitors of AChE, 20 and since the assay for this enzyme from rabbit brain was available from another project in this laboratory,²¹ 3-36 were assayed as inhibitors of this enzyme; 37-41 were prepared and assayed for comparison. These compounds showed reversible inhibition with I_{50} 's in the range of 3-130 μM ; these I_{50} 's should be considered minimum values since no effort was made to separate possible irreversible inhibition which may have occurred in the 15-30 min assay period.

The baseline compound for AChE inhibition is benzylpyridinium ion (37) (Table II) which had $I_{50} = 66$ μM . The corresponding quinoline (38) showed 4-fold better binding, but the naphthylmethylpyridinium ions (39, 40) showed little change in binding compared to 37. The SO₂F moiety of 11-13 gave no enhancement in binding compared to 37, indicating that these 3 compounds were not showing irreversible inhibition. The largest contribution to binding by a side chain occurred in 4 where the increment was about 10-fold compared to 12.

It is unlikely that these quaternary compounds (3-36) would give CNS disturbances in vivo since they most probably cannot pass the blood-brain barrier.²² However, it is possible that some effects on the peripheral nervous system could occur.

Chemistry.—The quaternary salts in Tables I and II were prepared by reaction of the appropriate benzyl bromides¹¹ or chlorides with the appropriate pyridines. The substituted pyridines necessary for 29-36 were commercially available. The remainder of the substituted pyridines were prepared by one of the following general routes (see Scheme I).

SCHEME I

RCHO +
$$CH_2P^+(C_6H_5)$$

HCl

53, 3 isomer

54, 4 isomer

RCH₂CH₂ CH_2 CH_2 CH_3 CH_4 CH_4 CH_4 CH_4 CH_5 CH_5 CH_5 CH_6 $CH_$

Compounds 42-46 and 48-51 were prepared by a Wittig reaction on the appropriate benzyl- or cinnamyltriphenylphosphonium chloride with the 2, 3, or 4 isomer of pyridinecarboxaldehyde, followed by catalytic reduction. Compound 47 was prepared by oxidation of 3-(3,4-dichlorophenoxy)-1,2-propanediol to 3,4-dichlorophenoxyacetaldehyde^{23,24} followed by a Wittig reaction with 4-picolyltriphenylphosphonium chloride hydrochloride (54) and catalytic reduction. The precursors to 42 and 45 were also prepared by treating 3picolyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (53) or 54 with 3,4-dichlorocinnamaldehyde (55). Compound 52 was prepared by catalytic reduction of commercial 4-stilbazole.

Experimental Section

Melting points were taken in capillary tubes on a Mel-Temp block and are uncorrected. Each anal. sample had an ir spectrum compatible with its structure and moved as a single spot on tlc on Brinkmann silica gel GF. All anal. samples gave combustion values for C, H or C, H, N within 0.4% of theoretical.

3,4-Dichlorocinnamaldehyde (55).—This compd was prepd from 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde and AcH by the general method of Baker, et al.25 and was recrystd from EtOH in 48% yield, mp 93-95°. Anal. (C9H6Cl2O) C, H, N

3-Picolyltriphenylphosphonium Chloride HCl (53).—A soln of 15.0 g (91.5 mmoles) of 3-picolyl chloride HCl and 24.0 g (91.5 mmoles) of PPh3 in 100 ml of DMF was stirred and heated at 80° for 45 min. The product crystd as the soln cooled to room temp; it was collected by filtration, washed with PhMe, and recrystd from PhMe-EtOH; yield, 15.9 g (41%) of white amorphous solid, mp 310-315°. Anal. (C₂₄H₂₂Cl₂NP·H₂O) C, H, N.

4-Picolyltriphenylphosphonium chloride · HCl (54) was prepd by the same method as 53 using 4-picolyl chloride HCl and PPh₃. Recrystn from PhMe-MeOH gave 23% of white amorphous solid, mp 259-261° Anal. (C₂₄H₂₂Cl₂NP·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

3-Styrylpyridine (Method B).—A soln of 7.8 g (20 mmoles) of benzyltriphenylphosphonium chloride, 2.2 g (20 mmoles of 3-

⁽¹⁷⁾ B. E. Erlanger, F. Edel, and A. G. Cooper, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 115, 206 (1966).

⁽¹⁸⁾ B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, J. Med. Chem., 11, 233 (1968).

⁽¹⁹⁾ B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, ibid., 12, 118 (1969).

⁽²⁰⁾ F. Bergmann, I. B. Wilson, and D. Nachmansohn, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 6, 217 (1950).

⁽²¹⁾ B. R. Baker and R. E. Gibson, J. Med. Chem., 14, 315 (1971).

⁽²²⁾ M. E. Goldberg and V. B. Ciofalo, Psychopharmacologia, 14, 142 (1969).

⁽²³⁾ L. Hatch and S. Nesbit, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 67, 39 (1945).

⁽²⁴⁾ R. Speer and H. Mahler, ibid., 71, 1133 (1949).

⁽²⁵⁾ B. R. Baker, E. E. Janson, and N. M. J. Vermeulen, J. Med. Chem., 12, 898 (1969).

38

39

 40^h

2,3-Benzo

2,3-Benzo

H

Н

No.	\mathbf{R}_1	R_2	$\mathrm{Method}^{a,b}$	Yield, $\%$	Mp, °C	$Formula^c$
6	$3-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	\mathbf{A}	33	120-121	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
7	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	23	129-130	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathbf{S}$
8	$3-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	\mathbf{A}	21	135-137	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{BrCl_3FNO_2S}$
9	$3-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$4-SO_2F$	A	46	$62-64^{d}$	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{23}\mathrm{BrFNO}_2\mathrm{S}\cdot\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$
10	$3-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	46	149 - 151	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{BrClFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
11	Н	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	38	221-222	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{11}BrFNO_{2}S}$
12	Н	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	13	184-186	$\mathrm{C}_{12}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{BrFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
13	Н	6 -Cl- 2 -SO $_2$ F	A	41	139-142	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{10}BrClFNO_{2}S}$
14	$2-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$4-SO_2F$	\mathbf{A}	19	122 - 124	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
15	$2-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	9	190-192	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
16	$4-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	36	184 - 185	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
17	$4-[3,4-{\rm Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_4}]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	25	158 - 159	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
18	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$4-SO_2F$	\mathbf{A}	32	175-176	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{23}\mathrm{BrFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
19	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3O(CH_2)_3]$	$4-SO_2F$	A	40	196-198	$\mathrm{C}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{19}\mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\mathrm{FNO}_{3}\mathrm{S}$
20	$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_4$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	29	149 - 151	$\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{BrClFNO}_3\mathrm{S}$
21	$3-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	.7,	174-177	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{BrCl}_2\mathrm{FNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
22	$3-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$2\text{-}\mathrm{SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	16	184 - 185	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\mathrm{FNO}_{2}\mathrm{S}$
2 3	$2-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	17	175-176	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\mathrm{FNO}_{2}\mathrm{S}$
24	$2-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$2\text{-sO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	45	192 - 193	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\mathrm{FNO}_{2}\mathrm{S}$
25	$4-[3,4-Cl_2C_6H_3(CH_2)_2]$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	37	182-183	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\mathrm{FNO}_{2}\mathrm{S}$
2 6	$4-[3,4-\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2]$	$2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	\mathbf{A}	1.5	203-204	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\mathrm{FNO}_{2}\mathrm{S}$
27	$3-C_6H_3CH_2CH_2$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	29	168-170	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{BrClFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
2 8	$4-C_6H_5CH_2CH_2$	$4-SO_2F$	A	47	168-170	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{19}\mathrm{BrFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
29	$4-C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2$	$4\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	\mathbf{A}	44	99-102	$\mathrm{C_{21}H_{21}BrFNO_{2}S}$
30	$4-C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2$	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	A	6 2	122 - 125	$\mathrm{C}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{BrClFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
31	$4\text{-C}_6 ext{H}_5 ext{CH}_2$	$4-SO_2F$	A	49	180-181	$\mathrm{C_{18}H_{17}BrFNO_{2}S}$
3 2	$4-C_6H_5$	$4-SO_2F$	A	25	224 - 226	$\mathrm{C_{18}H_{15}BrFNO_{2}S}$
33	4-CH_3	$4-SO_2F$	A	27	183 - 185	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{13}BrFNO_{2}S}$
34	2,3-Benzo	$4-SO_2F$	A	21	210-212	$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{13}BrFNO_{2}S}$
35	2,3-Benzo	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	\mathbf{A}	53	209-211	$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{12}BrClFNO_{2}S}$
36	3,4-Benzo	$6\text{-Cl-}2\text{-SO}_2\mathrm{F}$	\mathbf{A}	57	236 - 238	$\mathrm{C}_{16}\mathrm{H}_{12}\mathrm{BrClFNO}_2\mathrm{S}$
37	Н	Н	A	50	$94 - 96^{e}$	
	_					

a A, the appropriate pyridine free bases were quaternized by the previously described general procedure¹¹ except that Et₂O was added to ppt the product. b Products were recrystd by dissolving in boiling Me₂CO contg about 2% MeOH followed by the addn of petr ether (bp 60–110°) to cloudiness; yield of anal. pure material is a minimum. Anal. for C, H, N. Amorphous solid. J. Willems and J. Nys, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg., 66, 502 (1957), reported mp 98–100°. F. Kroehnke, H. Dickhauser, and I. Vogt, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 644, 93 (1961), reported mp 184°. R. Corral and O. Orazi, An. Asoc. Quim. Argent., 42, 169 (1954), reported mp 163.5–165°. Cl⁻salt. J. V. Braun, J. Nelles, and A. May, Ber. Deut. Chem. Ges., A, 70, 1767 (1937), reported mp 162°. R. Corral and O. Orazi, An. Asoc. Quim. Argent., 42, 169 (1954), reported mp 194–196°.

A

Α

A

70

75

75

80

185-186/

155-1579

167-177

203-204


Н

3,4-Benzo

2,3-Benzo

3,4-Benzo

TABLE IV
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF



	Pyridine bridge				$\mathbf{Yield}_{\cdot}^{b}$			
No.	position	R	n	Method^a	%	Mp, °C	Formula	Analysis
42	3	$3,4\text{-Cl}_2$	2	B or C + D	42	$150 - 151^{c_1d}$	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{15}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{N}\cdot\mathrm{HCl}$	C, H, N
43	3	H	2	B + D	58	49-51	$C_{15}H_{17}N$	С, Н
44	2	$3,4\text{-Cl}_2$	2	B + D	49	$141 - 142^{c,d}$	$C_{15}H_{15}Cl_2N \cdot HCl$	C, H, N
4.5	4	$3,4\text{-Cl}_2$	2	B or C + D	53	$142 - 143^{c,d}$	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{15}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{N}\cdot\mathrm{HCl}$	C, H N
46	4	H	2	B + D	49	45-47e.f	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{17}\mathrm{N}$	
48	3	$3,4\text{-Cl}_2$	1	B + D	63	$140 - 141^{c,d}$	$\mathrm{C}_{13}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{N}\cdot\mathrm{HCl}$	C, H, N
49	2	$3,4\text{-Cl}_2$	1	B + D	60	$149\text{-}150^{c \cdot d}$	$\mathrm{C}_{13}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{Cl_2N}\cdot\mathrm{HCl}$	C, H, N
50	4	$3,4\text{-Cl}_2$	1	B + D	60	$227 - 229^{c \cdot d}$	$\mathrm{C}_{13}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{Cl_2N}\cdot\mathrm{HCl}$	C, H, N
51	3	H	1	C + D	27	$32 – 34^{e}$	$C_{13}H_{13}N$	С, Н, N
52	4	H	1	D	80	$69 - 71^{e,g}$	$\mathrm{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle{13}}\mathrm{H}_{\scriptscriptstyle{13}}\mathbf{N}$	

^a See Experimental Section. ^b Overall yield from pyridinecarboxaldehyde except **52**. ^c HCl salt. ^d Recrystd from Me₂CO. ^e HCl salt purified, then base regenerated. ^f F. Bergstrom, T. Norton, and R. Seibert, *J. Org. Chem.*, **10**, 452 (1945), reported mp 47–49°. ^g F. Bergstrom, T. Norton, and R. Seibert, *ibid.*, **10**, 452 (1945), reported mp 70–71°.

pyridinecarboxaldehyde, and 2.5~g~(20~mmoles) of $\mathrm{DBN^{26}}$ in 75 ml of anhyd DMF was allowed to stand at ambient temp for 24 hr, then poured into 125 ml of H₂O. The resulting soln was decanted from the oil which formed. The oil was dissolved in EtOH, and the soln was clarified with charcoal, then treated by method D without further purification.

4-[3-(3,4-Dichlorophenoxy)-1-propenyl]pyridine (method C) was prepd by the above procedure from 54 and 3,4-dichlorophenoxyacetaldehyde^{23,24} and treated by method D without

further purification.

4-[3-(3,4-Dichlorophenoxy)propyl]pyridine (47) (Method D).— A soln of 0.50 g (1.8 mmoles) of 4-[3-(3,4-dichlorophenoxy)-1propenyl]pyridine in 100 ml of EtOH was shaken with 2-3 atm of H₂ and 50 mg of 10% Pd/C until the theor amt was used. The soln was treated with charcoal, filtered, and evapd to give 0.45~g~(21%~from~54) of an oil which was dissolved in $\rm Et_2O$ and treated with HCl gas. The resulting HCl salt was recrystd from Me₂CO–Et₂O; yield, 0.40 g, mp 164–166°. Anal. (C_{14} – $H_{13}Cl_2NO\cdot HCl)$ C, H, N.

3-(3,4-Dichlorophenoxy)-1,2-propanediol (57).—A soln of 16.3 g (0.10 mole) of 3,4-dichlorophenol and 35.0 g (0.32 mole) of

(26) 1,5-Diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene; see H. Oediger, H. Kabbe, F. Moller, and E. Eiter, Chem. Ber., 99, 2012 (1966).

3-chloro-1,2-propanediol in 70 ml of DMF was heated at 70° with 13.8 g (0.10 mole) of K₂CO₃ for 3 days, then poured into H₂O. The crude product was collected on a filter, washed with H₂O, triturated in 2 M NaOH, then washed with H₂O, and finally recrystd from H_2O ; yield, 13.7 g (55%) white solid, mp 105–107°. Anal. (C₉ H_{10} Cl₂O₃) C, H, Cl.

3,4-Dichlorocinnamyltriphenylphosphonium Chloride (56).—A soln of 23.0 g (10.6 mmoles) of 3,4-dichlorocinnamic acid in 100 ml of SOCl2 was refluxed for 20 min and then evapd in vacuo to a solid which was suspended in 100 ml of dioxane and added over 30 min to a stirred suspension of 25.0 g (65.7 mmoles) of NaBH₄ in 250 ml of dioxane. The soln was stirred at room temp for 30 min then cooled to and maintained at 20° while 60 ml of ice water and then 125 ml of 8 M HCl were slowly added over 1 hr. The contents of the flask were poured into 800 ml of H₂O and twice extd with CHCl3. The combined org layers were washed with three 400-ml portions of satd aq NaHCO₃, two 400-ml portions of H₂O, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evapd to an orange liquid. This alcohol was treated with 100 ml of SOCl2 as above and then evapd to a dark liquid (19.8 g, 89.5 mmoles) which was dissolved in 150 ml of PhMe contg 24.0 g (90 mmoles) of PPh₃. The resulting soln was heated overnight on a steam bath, cooled, and filtered. The collected product was recrystd from PhH-MeOH; yield, 25.0 g (57%) of white amorphous solid, mp 144-146°. Anal. (C₂₇H₂₂Cl₃P·0.5H₂O) C, H.

Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. 184.1.2 An Affinity Column for Purification of Rat Liver Guanine Deaminase and Xanthine Oxidase

B. R. Baker* and Hans-Ulrich Siebeneick

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received March 8, 1971

An affinity column for guanine deaminase and xanthine oxidase was prepared by coupling 9-(p-aminoethoxyphenyl)guanine, an inhibitor of both enzymes, to BrCN-activated Sepharose-4B. Xanthine oxidase from a 0-45% (NH₄)₂SO₄ fraction of rat liver was purified 230-fold with 90% recovery by absorption on the affinity column and elution with 1 mM substrate, hypoxanthine. The xanthine oxidase could also be eluted with an inhibitor, 2-benzylthiohypoxanthine; the latter was readily removed by 2-octanol extraction, and the recovery of purified enzyme was 68%. Guanine deaminase from a 45-90% (NH₄)₂SO₄ fraction of rat liver was purified 200fold with 87% recovery by elution with $0.5 \,\mathrm{m}M$ guanine.

The design, synthesis, and enzymic evaluation of active-site-directed irreversible inhibitors3 of guanine deaminase4 and xanthine oxidase5-10 have been previously reported from this laboratory. 9-Phenylguanine (1) was found to be a good reversible inhibitor of guanine deaminase, being complexed slightly better than the substrate;¹¹ it was then established that the 28-fold binding increment by the Ph group compared to Me was due to hydrophobic bonding to the enzyme.12 The nature and dimensions of the hydrophobic region of the enzyme were then mapped;13 then an appropriate leaving group with the proper dimensions for formation of a covalent bond with a polar group on the enzyme outside the active site (exo mechanism) could be placed on an inhibitor such as 3.4

An affinity column for enzyme purification consists of a solid polymeric support to which is attached by covalent linkage an inhibitor relatively specific for the enzyme to be purified.14 The solid support must be attached to a position on the inhibitor that does not interfere with complex formation with the enzyme. Such a position on the inhibitor is not obvious until sufficient information becomes available with reversible inhibitors as to where a large group can be placed on the inhibitor without interfering with complex formation;14 this is the same information needed to design an active-

⁽¹⁾ The work was generously supported by Grant CA-08695 from the National Cancer Institute, U.S. Public Health Service.

⁽²⁾ For the previous paper in this series see B. R. Baker and M. H. Doll, J. Med. Chem., 14, 793 (1971).

⁽³⁾ B. R. Baker, "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1967.

⁽⁴⁾ B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, J. Med. Chem., 12, 216 (1969).

⁽⁵⁾ B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, ibid., 12, 214 (1969).

⁽⁶⁾ B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, ibid., 12, 211 (1969).

⁽⁷⁾ B. R. Baker and J. A. Kozma, ibid., 11, 656 (1968).

⁽⁸⁾ B. R. Baker and J. A. Kozma, ibid., 11, 652 (1968). (9) B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, ibid., 11, 650 (1968).

⁽¹⁰⁾ B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, ibid., 10, 1106 (1967).

⁽¹¹⁾ B. R. Baker and D. V. Santi, ibid., 10, 62 (1967). (12) B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, ibid., 10, 1107 (1967).

⁽¹³⁾ B. R. Baker and W. F. Wood, ibid., 11, 644 (1968).